Tomography of the Inner Magnetosphere Using Numerical Field Models

Haje Korth, Michelle F. Thomsen (NIS-1, Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico), Karl-Heinz Glaßmeier (Institut für Geophysik, Braunschweig, Germany), William S. Phillips (EES-5, Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico)


Introduction

The neutral hydrogen density distribution in the inner magnetosphere can be determined from...

- the average proton fluxes measured by the MPA instruments aboard the Los Alamos geosynchronous satellites,
- a global drift pattern calculated from numerical electric and magnetic field models, and
- the assumption that flux attenuation is caused solely by charge exchange of protons with exospheric neutrals.

Data


- The flux statistics include plasma data from three Los Alamos geosynchronous satellites.
- MPA instruments measure proton fluxes from 40 keV down to 1 eV.
- One year of spin-averaged proton fluxes merges one million measurements into a database. Figure 1 shows the fluxes of four energy channels as a function of local time and Kp index.



• The Alfvén layers (white lines in Figure 1) explain the distinct flux boundaries on the nightside.

Global Drift Pattern

- A variety of energies, locations, and Kp levels lead to a fine mesh of trajectories from the night- to the dayside.
- Magnetic field models:
 ✓ Dipole,
 ✓ McIlwain M2 (McCormac, 1972).
- Electric potential models:
 ✓ Volland-Stern (JGR, 595, 1975),
 ✓ McIlwain E5D (ASR, 187, 1986),
 ✓ Weimer 96 (GRL, 2549, 1996).
- Sample drift paths calculated from the Volland-Stern model (Figure 2).




Charge Exchange

- Charge exchange with exospheric neutrals is the main loss process for ions: $H_E^+ + H \rightarrow H_E + H^+$.
- Flux decay: $f = f_0 \exp \left(-\int \alpha \, ds\right)$, loss coefficient $\alpha = \sigma v_{\rm th} n_{\rm H}$, σ is charge-exchange cross-section.

Inversion

• Discretization of flux decay leads to system of linear equations:




where ${\bf A}$ contains the drift path, \vec{d} are the flux ratios, and \vec{m} are the hydrogen densities.

• The neutral hydrogen densities are given by:

$$\vec{m} = (\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \vec{d},$$

where multiplication with A^{T} and the damping factor λ ensure a square, regular matrix required for successful inversion.


• The technique has been tested by forward modeling and subsequent inversion using a Chamberlain exosphere with Rairden 86 parameters. (Figure 3).




In Figure 3 the upper densities represent the Chamberlain model, the lower numbers show the inversion result

Results


• MPA data inversion using Volland-Stern/Dipole model (Figure 4) shows near-Earth densities that are much lower than Figure 3.



• The data inversion using the McIlwain E5D/M2 model (Figure 5) produces negative densities in the morning sector that can be interpreted as particle sources.



• The Weimer/Dipole model (Figure 6) also indicates a source region in the dawn sector. The densities near the Earth are not well resolved since only few drift paths populate this region.



Conclusion

- Inversion algorithm was successfully tested on a testbed database obtained by forward-modeling drifts through a Chamberlain exosphere.
- MPA-data inversion shows large differences to the Chamberlain model. The differences depend on the drift pattern.
- Using the Volland-Stern/Dipole model these differences are due to lower-than-expected losses of lower-energy particles that nominally drift through the inner region.



 $1~{\rm keV}$ @ 6.6 $R_{\rm E}$

2200 LT

Kp = 3

- Possible implications:
- ✓ Actual hydrogen density may be lower than the Chamberlain model in the inner region predicts.
- ✓ There may be sources within the inner region.
- ✓ Drift paths don't actually penetrate as deeply as these field models predict.

